

**PIERCE COUNTY BOARD OF COMMISSIONERS
REGULAR MEETING MINUTES
TUESDAY, APRIL 3, 2018 AT 6:00 PM**

Commissioners in attendance	Neal Bennett, Chairman Harold Rozier, Dist 1 Mike Streat, Dist 2 Randy Dixon, Dist 3 Lanier Walker, Dist 4
Staff in attendance	Franklin Rozier, County Attorney Jason Rubenbauer, County Manager Amy Hitt, County Clerk

CALL TO ORDER – Chairman Neal Bennett called the meeting to order at 6:00 PM.

INVOCATION/PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE – Commissioner Lanier Walker gave an invocation and led the pledge of allegiance.

MINUTES

March 6, 2018 Regular Meeting Minutes
March 6, 2018 Closed Session Minutes

Commissioner Randy Dixon made a motion to approve the minutes from the March 6, 2018 regular meeting and the March 6, 2018 closed session. Commissioner Harold Rozier seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

GENERAL BUSINESS

1. County Manager Monthly Report – Jason Rubenbauer, County Manager gave a brief report of financial activities and the main item to note is that overtime is increasing and we are working on getting that under control. Revisiting the request to rename Arelia Street, there were letters mailed to all property owners and no one has responded in support of the request. There have been two people who were against the request. We are making progress on County Farm Road construction and a study is currently underway to look at the possible need for traffic control at County Farm, Knox, New School Roads for school traffic. Appreciate all the work that the Road Department is doing to keep the county roads in great shape. Toby Harris, IT Director has turned in his resignation and will be leaving us after 6 years. Chairman Bennett asked the Board to take formal action on the renaming of Arelia Street.

Commissioner Randy Dixon made a motion to not change the name of Arelia Street due to lack of support. Commissioner Mike Streat seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

Chairman Bennett also thanked Toby Harris for his service to Pierce County and gave well wishes on his future endeavors.

2. Request to approve a Purchasing Policy for Pierce Transit to be compliant with GDOT regulations.



APRIL 4, 2018

Table of Contents

- [1. INTRODUCTION](#) 3
 - [1.1. Purpose](#) 3
 - [1.2. Applicability](#) 3
 - [1.3. Third Party Contracting Capacity](#) 4
 - [1.4. Relationship to Other County Policies](#) 4
- [2. CODE OF ETHICS AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY](#) 4
 - [2.1. Purpose](#) 4
 - [2.2. Definition of Key Terms](#) 4
 - [2.3. Applicability](#) 4
 - [2.4. Gifts](#) 5
 - [2.5. Employee Conflicts of Interest](#) 5
 - [2.5.1. Conflicts of Interest](#) 5
 - [2.5.2. Discovery of Actual or Potential Conflict of Interest \(Disqualification and Waiver\)](#) 5
 - [2.5.3. Employee Disclosure Requirements](#) 5
 - [2.5.4. Confidential Information](#) 5
 - [2.5.5. Solicitation Provision](#) 5
 - [2.6. Organizational Conflicts of Interest](#) 6
- [3. PROCEDURES FOR OPEN MARKET PROCUREMENTS](#) 6
 - [3.1. Methods of Procurement](#) 6
 - [3.1.1. Micro-Purchases](#) 6
 - [3.1.2. Small Purchases](#) 7
 - [3.1.3. Formal Purchases](#) 7
 - [3.2. Evaluation Requirements](#) 10
 - [General](#) 10
 - [3.2.1. Options](#) 10
 - [3.3. Contract Award Requirements](#) 10
 - [3.3.1. Award to Other Than the Lowest Bidder or Offeror](#) 10
 - [3.4. Independent Cost Estimate and Cost and Price Analysis](#) 11
 - [3.4.1. Independent Cost Estimate](#) 11
 - [3.4.2. Cost or Price Analysis](#) 11
 - [3.4.3. Approval of Contracts](#) 12
- [4. CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION REQUIREMENTS AND CONSIDERATIONS](#) 12
 - [4.1. Pierce County Transit Staff Responsibilities](#) 12
 - [4.2. Administrative Restrictions on the Acquisition of Property and Services](#) 12
 - [4.2.1. Legal Eligibility](#) 12
 - [4.2.2. Scope of the Project](#) 12
 - [4.2.3. Period of Performance](#) 12
 - [4.3. Federal Cost Principles](#) 13
 - [4.4. Payment Provisions](#) 13
 - [4.4.1. GDOT Support for the Project](#) 13
 - [4.5. Protections Against Performance Difficulties](#) 13

4.5.1.	Changes	13
4.5.2.	Remedies	13
4.6.	Contents of Complete Contract Files	14
4.6.1.	Written Record of Procurement History	14
4.7.	Access to Records	14
4.8.	Contract Administration and Close-Out Documents	14
4.8.1.	Contractor Performance	14
4.8.2.	Contract Deliverables	14
4.8.3.	Contract Changes	14
4.8.4.	Contract Payments	14
4.8.5.	Contract Close-Out	14
4.9.	Protest Procedures	15
4.9.1.	Statement of Policy	15
4.9.2.	Pierce County Transit Staff Responsibilities	15
4.9.3.	Solicitation Provision	15
4.9.4.	Requirements for Protests	15
4.9.5.	Protest Response	16
4.9.6.	Review of Protests by GDOT	16

INTRODUCTION

Purpose

This manual establishes guidelines and minimum standards that Pierce County Transit will use in the management of its third-party contracts. This policy is intended to ensure that Pierce County Transit complies with Federal Transit Administration (FTA) and the Georgia Department of Transportation's (GDOT's) standards to ensure full and open competition and equitable treatment of all potential sources for all purchases made with funding derived from the Federal, state, and local governments. In all purchasing activity, the goal of Pierce County Transit is to ensure maximum open and free competition consistent with:

- FTA Circular 4220.1F "Third Party Contracting Guidance" or latest version thereof;
- Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, 2 CFR Part 200 (referred to now as the "Super Circular" and which replaced and consolidated OMB Circulars A-87, A-102, A-110, A-122, and A-133);
- Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards, 2 CFR part 1201, which replaces 49 CFR parts 18 and 19;
- GDOT Section 5311 State Management Plan; and
- GDOT Section 5311 Program Manual.

Applicability

This policy applies to all procurements undertaken and financed, in whole or in part, with FTA financial assistance provided to Pierce County Transit to support **open market procurements**. An open market solicitation is used to purchase a good or service by soliciting from any available source. Most grantee procurement activity will be undertaken on the open market. Open market procurements exclude:

- Employment Contracts;
- Real Estate Contracts; and
- Intergovernmental Agreements.

The goal of procurement practices is to provide an atmosphere in which all procurement transactions will be conducted in a manner providing full and open competition. Pierce County Transit will avoid the following situations considered to be restrictive of competition:

- Unreasonable requirements placed on firms in order for them to qualify to do business;
- Unnecessary experience and excessive bonding requirements;
- Noncompetitive pricing practices between firms or between affiliated companies;

- Noncompetitive awards to any person or firm on retainer contracts;
- Organizational conflicts of interest, which means that because of other activities, relationships, or contracts, a contractor is unable, or potentially unable, to render impartial assistance or advice to the grantee; a contractor's objectivity in performing the contract work is or might be otherwise impaired; or a contractor has an unfair competitive advantage;
- The specification of only a "brand name" product without listing its salient characteristics and not allowing "an equal" product to be offered; and
- Any arbitrary action in the procurement process.

Pierce County Transit will conduct procurements in a manner that does not give in-State or local geographical preferences in the evaluation of bids or proposals, except in those cases where applicable Federal statutes expressly mandate or encourage geographic preference. This does not pre-empt Georgia licensing laws from being considered in those disciplines that are regulated by the State of Georgia. Geographic location may be a selection criterion in procurements for architectural and engineering (A&E) services if an appropriate number of qualified firms, given the nature and size of the project, are able to compete for the contract.

Third Party Contracting Capacity

The provisions of "Super Circular" and the most current version of FTA Circular 4220 requires Pierce County Transit to have written procurement procedures requires written procurement procedures as a condition of self-certification. This policy is designed to meet FTA and GDOT's requirements in this regard.

Relationship to Other County Policies

The purpose of the County's purchasing policies and procedures are two-fold. First, the County has established these policies and procedures to conform to the provisions of Federal procurement regulations that govern the County's use of FTA and GDOT funds. Second, these policies and procedures assure that materials, supplies, services and equipment required for efficient and effective operation of the transit program are procured with regard to an analysis of price, quality, quantity, terms and delivery specifications. These policies and procedures pertain only to the County's purchases made with FTA funds for the transit program; purchases with local funds and for purposes other than transit may follow alternative procedures.

These policies may not answer all questions related to purchasing; if any employee of Pierce County Transit has a question regarding these procedures, GDOT should be contacted for clarification and guidance.

When Pierce County Transit undertakes any purchase utilizing FTA funds, this policy shall supersede any existing purchasing policy promulgated by the County. When any conflict exists between this policy and the existing policies of the County, the procedures outlined herein shall prevail. If any employee of Pierce County Transit determines that a conflict exists between these policies and state and local law, Pierce County Transit shall contact GDOT and communicate the conflict.

CODE OF ETHICS AND CONFLICT OF INTEREST POLICY

Purpose

The "Super Circular" requires each recipient to maintain written standards of conduct governing the performance of its employees engaged in the award and administration of contracts. This policy must address:

- Personal Conflicts of Interest
- Gifts; and
- Violations.

Definition of Key Terms

As used herein, the following definitions apply:

Conflict of Interest – A situation in which an existing employee, or an employee who has been, or will be, offered employment, board member, officer, or agent has a private or personal interest sufficient to appear to influence the objective exercise of his or her official duties. A conflict of interest represents a divergence between an employee's private interests and his or her professional obligations to the Pierce County Transit such that an independent observer might reasonably question whether the employee's professional actions or decisions are determined by considerations of personal gain, financial or otherwise.

Financial Interest – An officer, agent, Board Member, his or her partner, employee or their immediate family, is considered as having a financial interest in a company if: they receive more than \$10,000 in consulting income, salaries, or equity in the company; they have more than 5 percent equity in the company; they have intellectual property rights in or receive royalties from the company; or they serve as a director, officer, partner, trustee, manager or employee of the company.

Immediate Family – Immediate family includes an employee's spouse, grandparent, parent, brother, sister, child or grandchild, his or her partner.

Applicability

No employee, elected official, agent, or other individual under an employment contract with the Pierce County Board of Commissioners, or his or her immediate family member, partner, or organization that employs or is about to employ any of the foregoing may participate in the selection, award, or administration of a contract supported with FTA assistance if a conflict of interest, real or apparent, would be involved.

Such a conflict would arise when any of those previously listed individuals has a financial or other interest in the firm selected for award.

Gifts

Any contractor, subcontractor, or supplier who has a contract with a governmental agency; has performed under such a contract within the past year; or anticipates bidding on such a contract in the future shall be prohibited from making gifts or to providing favors to any individual defined in Section 2.2. Who is charged with the duty of:

- Preparing plans, specifications, or estimates for public contract; or
- Awarding or administering public contracts; or
- Inspecting or supervising construction.

The Pierce County Transit also prohibits all covered individuals defined in Section 2.2. who perform the functions listed above from receiving or accepting any such gift or favor.

Employee Conflicts of Interest

Conflicts of Interest

It shall be a breach of ethical standards for any Pierce County Transit employee to participate directly or indirectly in a procurement when the employee knows:

- The employee (or prospective employee that an offer of employment is anticipated or has been made) or any member of the employee's immediate family, board members, officer, agent, his or her partner, has a financial interest pertaining to the procurement;
- A business or organization in which the employee, or any member of the employee's immediate family, has a financial interest pertaining to the procurement; or
- Any other person, business or organization with whom the employee or any member of employee's immediate family is negotiating or has an arrangement concerning prospective employment is involved in the procurement.

Discovery of Actual or Potential Conflict of Interest (Disqualification and Waiver)

Upon discovery of an actual or potential conflict of interest, an employee participating directly or indirectly in a procurement shall:

- Promptly file a written statement of disqualification with the County Manager; and
- Withdraw from further participation in the procurement.

The employee may, at the same time, request from the County Manager an advisory opinion as to what further participation, if any, the employee may have in the procurement. It shall be at the sole discretion of the County Manager to determine if the employee may have any further participation in the procurement and, if so, the extent to which the employee may participate. Any employee who fails to comply with the provisions of this paragraph may be subject to disciplinary action.

Employee Disclosure Requirements

A Pierce County Transit employee, who has reason to believe that he/she or his/her immediate family have an interest that may be affected by his/her official acts or actions as a Pierce County Transit employee or by the official acts or actions of Pierce County Transit, shall disclose the precise nature and value of such interest in a written disclosure statement to the County Manager. The employee's disclosure statement will be reviewed by the County Manager and the County Manager will respond to the employee in writing with an opinion as to the propriety of said interest.

In the event that the County Manager has reason to believe that he/she or his/her immediate family has an interest that may be affected by his/her official acts or actions as a Pierce County Transit employee or by the official acts or actions of Pierce County Transit, he/she shall disclose the precise nature and value of such interest in a written disclosure statement to the County Manager.

Confidential Information

A Pierce County Transit employee may not directly or indirectly make use of, or permit others to make use of, for the purpose of furthering a private interest, confidential information acquired by virtue of their position or employment with Pierce County Transit.

Solicitation Provision

Pierce County Transit shall insert the following provisions in all formal competitive solicitation documents for products and services:

“Pierce County Board of Commissioners has moved to adopt the policies contained in the Georgia Procurement Manual Code of Ethics, (1.4.4. These policies shall apply to Pierce County Transit employees involved in procurement. It is a breach of ethical standards for any Pierce County Transit employee to participate directly or indirectly in a procurement when the employee knows:

- *The employee or any member of the employee’s immediate family has a financial interest pertaining to the procurement;*
- *A business or organization in which the employee, or any member of the employee’s immediate family, has a financial interest pertaining to the procurement; or*
- *Any other person, business or organization with whom the employee or any member of employee’s immediate family is negotiating or has an arrangement concerning prospective employment is involved in the procurement.*

In addition, any persons acting as members of an evaluation committee for any procurement shall, for the purposes of the procurement, be bound by conditions of this Section. Throughout the bid/proposal evaluation process and subsequent contract negotiations, offerors shall not discuss or seek specific information about this procurement, including but not limited to, the contents of submissions, the evaluation process or the contract negotiations, with members of any evaluation committee, Pierce County Board of Commissioners employees or other governing board members other than the designated procurement officer.”

Organizational Conflicts of Interest

The procurement officer and technical personnel are encouraged to work closely with the County Attorney to review all situations that appear to have the potential for an organizational conflict of interest.

Organizational conflicts of interest may result in bias and potentially provide an unfair competitive advantage to a potential offeror. An organizational conflict of interest occurs due to the type of work to be performed under a third-party contract, or because of other activities or relationships such as:

- A contractor is unable, or potentially unable, to render impartial assistance or advice to the County;
- A contractor’s objectivity in performing contract work is or might otherwise be impaired; or
- A contractor has an unfair competitive advantage.

Bias arises when a contractor is placed in a situation where there may be an incentive to distort advice or decisions. Whenever a contract is awarded that involves the rendering of advice, the question must always be asked as to whether the potential for a conflict of interest exists for the contractor rendering the advice. Pierce County Transit will utilize a "Conflict of Interest Disclosure Statement," in its solicitation when contracting for services of this nature.

PROCEDURES FOR OPEN MARKET PROCUREMENTS

Methods of Procurement

Pierce County Transit shall use competitive procedure(s) appropriate for the acquisition undertaken. The procedures used must comply with Georgia and local law as well as with Federal requirements. Federal restrictions vary with the type of procurement method used. The following guidance is based on the requirements of the Common Grant Rule for governmental recipients, supplemented by FTA policies that address the needs of FTA recipients.

Micro-Purchases

Definition

Micro-purchases are those purchases of products and services that cost less than \$3,000.

Approval Authority

Micro-purchases must be approved in writing by one of the following Pierce County Transit employees:

- Purchasing Agent; or
- County Manager.

Competition

Pierce County Transit may acquire products and services valued at less than \$3,000 without obtaining competitive quotations but must be approved in writing by GDOT. Micro-purchases should be distributed equitably among qualified suppliers.

Micro purchases are exempt from FTA’s Buy America requirements. Davis-Bacon prevailing wage requirements, however, will apply to construction contracts exceeding \$2,000, even though the recipient uses micro-purchase procurement procedures.

Prohibited Divisions

The size or dollar value of procurements may not be divided or reduced merely to come within the micro purchase limit. The only allowable exception to this restriction is for the express purpose of fostering greater participation of DBEs, small and minority firms and women’s business enterprises in Pierce County Transit’s Federally-assisted procurements.

Documentation

Every micro-purchase must be accompanied by a written determination that the price is fair and reasonable and a description of how that determination was made.

Small Purchases

Definition

FTA defines small purchases are those purchases of products and services, including construction services, that cost greater than \$3,000 but not more than \$150,000.

Approval Authority

Small purchases must be approved in writing by GDOT and one of the following Pierce County Transit employees:

- Purchasing Agent; and/or
- County Manager.

Required Competition

Price or rate quotations must be obtained from an adequate number of qualified sources. It is the responsibility of Pierce County Transit to ensure that an adequate number of quotations, bids, or proposals are received

Prohibited Divisions

The size or dollar value of procurements may not be divided or reduced merely to come within the small purchase limit. The only allowable exception to this restriction is for the express purpose of fostering greater participation of DBE, small and minority firms and women’s business enterprises in Pierce County Transit’s Federally-assisted procurements

Documentation

Every small purchase must be documented in the grantee’s written procurement history file. The level of documentation is stipulated in Section 6.6.1.

For small purchases, price quotations may be oral or written.

Special Considerations

Pierce County Transit may acquire products and services directly from State contract vendors in lieu of competitively procuring such products and services itself through the small purchase method of procurement.

Small purchases are exempt from FTA’s Buy America requirements.

Pierce County Transit reserves the right to use formal purchase methods, even if small purchase thresholds are met, if the Purchasing Agent believes it is in the best interests of the County to do so.

Formal Purchases

Definition

Large purchases are those purchases of products and services that cost greater the Federal threshold of \$150,000.

Approval Authority

Large purchases must be approved in writing by GDOT and the following Pierce County Transit employees or officials:

- Purchasing Agent; or
- County Manager.

No further delegation of approval authority for large purchases may be made.

Procurement Methods

There are two primary methods of procurement for large purchases of products and services:

- Sealed Bid method; and
- Competitive Proposal method.

Required Competition

Formal bids and competitive proposals must be publicly advertised.

For large purchases by the sealed bid method of procurement, two or more responsible bidders must be willing and able to compete effectively for the business.

For large purchases by the competitive proposal method of procurement, two or more offerors must be willing and able to submit an offer or proposal.

Required Documentation

Every formal purchase must, at a minimum, be supported by a written independent cost estimate, formal bids or proposals, a written cost or price analysis as appropriate, a written justification and detailed rationale for contractor selection (including application of evaluation criteria) and a written determination of the responsibility of the contractor. Additional documentation requirements are dependent upon the formal procurement method that is utilized to make the purchase.

Special Considerations

Pierce County Transit may acquire products and services via QPA in lieu of competitively procuring such products and services itself through the sealed bid and competitive proposal methods of procurement.

Procedural Methods for Sealed Bids

The sealed bid method of procurement is a formal method in which bids are publicly solicited and a firm fixed price contract is awarded to the responsible bidder whose bid, conforming to all the material terms and conditions of the Invitation for Bids, is lowest in price. The vehicle through which bids are solicited is an Invitation for Bids (IFB). The IFB document contains technical specifications for the product or service to be purchased, a description of the procedures for submitting a bid, and the forms on which bids must be submitted.

- (a) When Appropriate – The sealed bid method of procurement is the preferred method for acquiring products and services that, including construction services, cost greater than \$100,000. The sealed bid method of procurement may also be used for small purchases if it is determined to be appropriate. The sealed bid method of procurement is appropriate if the following conditions apply:
 - (1) Precise Specifications – A complete, adequate, precise, and realistic specification or purchase description is available.
 - (2) Adequate Sources – Two or more responsible bidders are willing and able to compete effectively for the business.
 - (3) Fixed Price Contract – The procurement generally lends itself to a firm fixed price contract.
 - (4) Price Determinative – The successful bidder can be selected on the basis of price and those price-related factors listed in the solicitation including, but not limited to, transportation costs, life cycle costs, and discounts expected to be taken. Apart from responsibility determinations, contractor selection may not be determined on the basis of other factors whose costs cannot be measured at the time of award.
 - (5) Discussions Unnecessary – Discussions with one or more bidders after bids have been submitted are expected to be unnecessary as award of the contract will be made based on price and price-related factors alone.
- (b) Requirements for Sealed Bids – The following requirements apply to the sealed bid method of procurement:
 - (1) Publicity – The Invitation for Bids must be publicly advertised.
 - i. The Purchasing Agent shall ensure that sufficient time is allowed to prepare bids before the date of bid opening.
 - ii. Notice of bidding opportunities may be provided in other ways in addition, but not as a substitute, to a published notice. The methods may include, but not necessarily be limited to:
 - a. Direct notice, based on compiled vendor lists or from pre-qualification list, sent to prospective offerors; or
 - b. Use of advertisement by electronic means.
 - (2) Adequate Sources – Bids must be solicited from an adequate number of known suppliers.

- (3) Adequate Specifications – The Invitation for Bids, including any specifications and pertinent attachments, must describe the property or services sought in sufficient detail that a prospective bidder will be able to submit a proper bid.
- (4) Sufficient Time – Bidders must be allowed sufficient time to prepare bids before the date of bid opening.
- (5) Public Opening – All bids must be publicly opened at the time and place prescribed in the Invitation for Bids.
- (6) Fixed Price Contract – A firm fixed price contract must be awarded in writing to the lowest responsive and responsible bidder unless the Invitation for Bids specifically allowed for award of a fixed price incentive contract or the inclusion of an economic price adjustment provision.
- (7) Rejection of Bids – Any or all bids may be rejected if there is a sound, documented business reason.

Competitive Proposals

The competitive proposal method of procurement is a formal method in which written proposals are publicly solicited and a contract is awarded to the responsible offeror whose proposal, taking into consideration price and other factors, is considered to be the most advantageous to Pierce County Transit or that is considered to be the “best value” to Pierce County Transit. The vehicle through which proposals are solicited is Request for Proposals (RFP). The RFP document contains technical specifications for the product or service to be purchased, a description of the procedures for submitting a proposal and the forms on which proposals must be submitted, if applicable.

- (a) When Appropriate – The competitive proposal method of procurement is appropriate for the acquisition of products and services that cost greater than \$90,000 when the nature of the procurement does not lend itself to sealed bidding and Pierce County Transit expects that more than one source will be willing and able to submit a proposal. The competitive proposal method of procurement may also be used for small purchases if it is determined to be appropriate. The competitive proposal method of procurement may not be used for the procurement of construction services. The competitive proposal method of procurement is appropriate when any of the following circumstances are present:
 - (1) Type of Specifications – The products or services to be acquired are described in a performance or functional specification, or if described in detailed technical specifications, other circumstances such as the need for discussions or the importance of basing contract award on factors other than price alone are present.
 - (2) Uncertain Number of Sources – Uncertainty about whether more than one bid will be submitted in response to an Invitation for Bids.
 - (3) Price Alone Not Determinative – Due to the nature of the procurement, contract award need not be based exclusively on price or price-related factors.
 - (4) Discussions Expected – Separate discussions with individual offerors are expected to be necessary after they have submitted their proposals.
- (b) Requirements for Competitive Proposals – The following requirements apply to the competitive proposal method of procurement:
 - (1) Publicity – The Request for Proposals must be publicly advertised.
 - (2) Evaluation Factors – All evaluation factors and their relative importance must be specified in the solicitation, but numerical or percentage ratings or weights need not be disclosed.
 - (3) Adequate Sources – Proposals must be solicited from an adequate number of qualified sources.
 - (4) Evaluation Method – A specific method must be established and used to conduct technical evaluations of the proposals received and to determine the most qualified offeror.
 - (5) Price and Other Factors – An award must be made to the responsible offeror whose proposal is most advantageous to Pierce County Transit or that represents the “best value” to Pierce County Transit with price and other factors considered.
 - (6) Best Value – Pierce County Transit may award a contract to the offeror whose proposal provides the greatest value to Pierce County Transit. To do so, the solicitation must inform potential offerors that the award will be made on a “best value” basis and identify what factors will form the basis for award. Pierce County Transit must base its determination of which proposal represents the “best value” on an analysis of the tradeoff of qualitative technical factors and price or cost factors.

Two-Step Procurements

Pierce County Transit may use two-step procurement procedures in both sealed bid and competitive proposal procurements, provided the opportunity for full and open competition is retained.

- (a) Review of Technical Qualifications and Approach – The first step is a review of the prospective contractors’ technical approach to Pierce County Transit’s request and their technical qualifications to carry out that approach followed by the establishment of a competitive range

consisting of prospective contractors that demonstrate a technically satisfactory approach and have satisfactory qualifications.

- (b) Review of Bids and Proposals Submitted by Qualified Prospective Contractors – The second step consists of soliciting and reviewing complete bids or proposals, including price, submitted by each prospective contractor determined to be qualified. Absent exceptional circumstances, bids or proposals must be solicited from at least three qualified prospective contractors.

Evaluation Requirements

The following standards shall apply to all evaluations of bids or proposals conducted by Pierce County Transit.

General

When evaluating bids or proposals received in response to a solicitation, Pierce County Transit shall consider all evaluation factors specified in the solicitation documents and shall evaluate the bids or offers proposals only on the evaluation factors included in those solicitation documents. Pierce County Transit may not modify its evaluation factors after bids or proposals have been received without re-opening the solicitation.

Options

The following standards shall apply when awarding contracts that include options:

Evaluation Required

In general, Pierce County Transit must evaluate bids or offers for any option quantities or periods contained in a solicitation if it intends to exercise those options after the contract is awarded.

Evaluation Not Required

Pierce County Transit need not evaluate bids or offers for any option quantities when Pierce County Transit does not intend to exercise those options after the contract is awarded or if it determines that evaluation would not otherwise be in its best interests.

Evaluators

In addition to evaluators with experience in technical or public policy matters related to the procurement, other evaluators may also include auditors and financial experts to the extent that the recipient determines would be necessary or helpful. If Pierce County Transit lacks qualified personnel within its organization, it may contract for evaluation services. If it does so, the procurement procedures in this policy will apply to those contracts and to those contractors selected to perform evaluation functions on behalf of the recipient.

Contract Award Requirements

The following standards shall apply to all contract award decisions made by Pierce County Transit:

Award to Other Than the Lowest Bidder or Offeror

Pierce County Transit may award a contract to other than the lowest bidder if the award furthers an objective consistent with the purposes of 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53, including improved long-term operating efficiency and lower long-term costs. Pierce County Transit may also award a contract to other than the offeror whose price proposal is lowest, when stated in the evaluation factors of the solicitation. In both cases, Pierce County Transit must include a statement in its solicitation document reserving the right to award the contract to other than the low bidder or offeror.

Award Only to a Responsible Bidder or Offeror

Pierce County Transit may only award contracts to responsible contractors possessing the ability, willingness, and integrity to perform successfully under the terms and conditions of the contract and who demonstrate that its proposed subcontractors also qualify as responsible. Pierce County Transit must consider such matters as contractor integrity, compliance with public policy, record of past performance and financial and technical resources when making a determination of contractor responsibility. Pierce County Transit must also ensure that the contractor is not listed as a debarred or suspended contractor on the System for Award Management (SAM), which is maintained by the General Services Administration (GSA), at the time of contract award. Entities that are listed as debarred or suspended contractors on SAM may not be determined to be responsible contractors by Pierce County Transit. For every procurement action above the micro-purchase level, Pierce County Transit must make a written determination of the responsibility of the contractor and include such determination in the applicable contract file.

To designate a prospective contractor “responsible” as required by 49 U.S.C. § 5325, Pierce County Transit, at a minimum, must determine and ensure that the prospective contractor satisfies the following criteria described herein. In addition to being otherwise qualified and eligible to receive the contract award under applicable laws and regulations, a responsible contractor:

- (a) Integrity and Ethics – Has a satisfactory record of integrity and business ethics, in compliance with 49 U.S.C. Section 5325(j)(2)(A).
- (b) Debarment and Suspension – Is neither debarred nor suspended from Federal programs under DOT regulations, “Non-procurement Suspension and Debarment,” 2 CFR Parts 180 and 1200, or under the FAR at 48 CFR Chapter 1, Part 9.4.
- (c) Affirmative Action and DBE – Is in compliance with the Super Circular’ affirmative action and FTA’s Disadvantaged Business Enterprise requirements.
- (d) Public Policy – Is in compliance with the public policies of the Federal Government, as required by 49 U.S.C. § Section 5325(j)(2)(B).
- (e) Administrative and Technical Capacity – Has the necessary organization, experience, accounting, and operational controls, and technical skills, or the ability to obtain them, in compliance with 49 U.S.C. Section 5325(j)(2)(D).
- (f) Licensing and Taxes – Is in compliance with applicable licensing and tax laws and regulations.
- (g) Financial Resources – Has, or can obtain, sufficient financial resources to perform the contract, as required by 49 U.S.C. Section 5325(j)(2)(D).
- (h) Production Capability – Has, or can obtain, the necessary production, construction, and technical equipment and facilities.
- (i) Timeliness – Is able to comply with the required delivery or performance schedule, taking into consideration all existing commercial and governmental business commitments.
- (j) Performance Record – Is able to provide a:
 - (1) Current Performance – Satisfactory current performance record; and
 - (2) Past Performance – Satisfactory past performance record in view of its records of long-time performance or performance with a predecessor entity, including:
 - i. Sufficient Resources. Key personnel with adequate experience, a parent firm with adequate resources and experience, and key subcontractors with adequate experience and past performance,
 - ii. Adequate Past Experience. Past experience in carrying out similar work with particular attention to management approach, staffing, timeliness, technical success, budgetary controls, and other specialized considerations as described in the recipient’s solicitation, and
 - iii. Any Past Deficiencies Not the Fault of the Bidder or Offeror. A prospective bidder or offeror that is or recently has been seriously deficient in contract performance is presumed to be non-responsible, unless the recipient determines that the circumstances were properly beyond the bidder or offeror’s control, or unless the bidder or offeror has taken appropriate corrective action. Past failure to apply sufficient tenacity, perseverance, and effort to perform acceptably is strong evidence of non-responsibility. Failure to meet the quality requirements of a contract is a significant factor to consider in determining satisfactory performance. GDOT expects Pierce County Transit to consider the number of the bidder or offeror’s contracts involved and the extent of deficient performance in each contract when making this determination.

Rejection of Bids and Proposals

Pierce County Transit may reject all bids or proposals submitted in response to an Invitation for Bids or Request for Proposals. Pierce County Transit must include a statement in its solicitation document reserving the right to reject all bids or proposals.

- (a) Extent and Limits of Contract Award – The selection of a contractor to participate in one aspect of a project does not, by itself, constitute a sole source selection of the contractor’s wholly owned affiliates to perform other work in connection with the project.

Independent Cost Estimate and Cost and Price Analysis

Independent Cost Estimate

For every procurement, Pierce County Transit shall make a written independent estimate of cost prior to receiving price quotes, bids or proposals.

Cost or Price Analysis

Pierce County Transit shall perform a cost or price analysis in connection with every procurement action above the Federal small acquisition threshold, including contract modifications.

Price Analysis

If Pierce County Transit determines that competition was adequate, a written price analysis, rather than a cost analysis, is required to determine the reasonableness of the proposed contract price.

Cost Analysis

Pierce County Transit must perform or obtain a cost analysis when:

- (a) A price analysis will not provide sufficient information to determine the reasonableness of the contract cost.
- (b) When the offeror submits elements of the estimated cost.
- (c) When only a sole source is available, even if the procurement is a contract modification.
- (d) In the event of a change order.

Approval of Contracts

All contracts must be signed by the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners.

CONTRACT ADMINISTRATION REQUIREMENTS AND CONSIDERATIONS

Pierce County Transit Staff Responsibilities

Prior to execution of third party contracts, Pierce County Transit shall designate a Project Manager to serve as Pierce County Transit's principal contact with the contractor and as the primary administrator of the contract. The designated Project Manager for each contract shall have responsibility for directing and overseeing the work performed by the contractor; reviewing and approving deliverables and invoices from the contractor; determining percentage of contract completion for progress payments (if applicable); making recommendations on the exercise of contract options (if applicable); recommending contract changes; preparing justifications for contract changes; performing independent cost estimates and cost or price analyses for contract changes; making recommendations on approval or rejection of subcontractors; assisting with the resolution of contract disputes; making recommendations on contract termination or other contractor disciplinary actions; maintaining complete contract files; and other contract administration duties that may be necessary.

Administrative Restrictions on the Acquisition of Property and Services

The following Federal laws and regulations impose administrative requirements, many of which will affect specific third-party procurements.

Legal Eligibility

The property or services acquired must be eligible for support under the restrictions accompanying the Federal statute authorizing the Federal assistance to be used.

Scope of the Project

The property or services acquired must be eligible for support within the scope of the underlying grant or cooperative agreement from which the Federal assistance to be used is derived.

Period of Performance

Pierce County Transit will use sound business judgment and be judicious in establishing and extending a contract's period of performance.

General Standards

The period of performance generally should not exceed the time necessary to accomplish the purpose of the contract. Pierce County Transit will also consider competition, pricing, fairness, and public perception. Pierce County Transit's procurement files will document its rationale for determining the performance period designated for each contract.

FTA Restrictions on Contract Period of Performance

Pierce County Transit's third party contracts (such as property, services, leases, construction, revenue, and so forth) are limited to a maximum period of performance of five (5) years, consistent with FTA Circular 4220.1F.

Time Extensions

Consistent with the general tone of FTA Circular 4220.1F, contract time extensions shall be considered in light of whether they are permissible changes or impermissible cardinal changes. Once Pierce County

Transit awards a third-party contract, an extension of the contract term length that amounts to a cardinal change will require a sole source justification.

Authority to Extend

The Chairman of the Board of Commissioners has the sole authority to approve and execute contract modifications. The Project Manager for the contract shall recommend all contract time; prior to making a recommendation for a contract time extension. The Project Manager shall prepare a written justification and cost analysis (if applicable) for the contract time extension and shall negotiate the appropriate contract modification with the contractor.

Federal Cost Principles

Applicable provisions of 2 CFR Part 200.400 require project costs to conform to applicable Federal cost principles for allowable costs. In general, costs must be necessary and reasonable, allocable to the project, authorized or not prohibited by Federal law or regulation, and must comply with Federal cost principles applicable to the recipient.

Payment Provisions

Pierce County Transit will follow the provisions of this section when using FTA funds (or the proposed local share) to support its third-party contracts.

GDOT Support for the Project

GDOT manages all FTA programs on a cost reimbursement basis; costs may only be incurred by Pierce County Transit if GDOT has awarded a financial assistance contract to Pierce County Transit.

Progress Payments

Progress payments are payments for contract work that has not been completed. Pierce County Transit may use GDOT assistance to support progress payments provided the recipient obtains adequate security for those payments and has sufficient written documentation to substantiate the work for which payment is requested.

Adequate Documentation

Sufficient documentation is required to demonstrate completion of the amount of work for which progress payments are made.

Percentage of Completion Method

Applicable provisions of 4220.1F requires that any progress payments for construction contracts be made on a percentage of completion method described therein. Pierce County Transit, however, may not make progress payments for other than construction contracts based on this percentage method.

Protections Against Performance Difficulties

Pierce County Transit shall include provisions in its third-party contracts that will reduce potential problems that might occur during contract performance, as follows:

Changes

Pierce County Transit shall include provisions that address changes and changed conditions in all third-party contracts except for routine supply contracts.

Remedies

Pierce County Transit shall include provisions that address remedies in its third-party contracts. Provisions related to remedies may include provisions for:

Violation or Breach

Third party contracts exceeding \$100,000 must include administrative, contractual, or legal remedies for violations or breach of the contract by the third-party contractor.

Suspension of Work

Pierce County Transit may include provisions pertaining to suspension of work in its third-party contracts.

Termination

Termination for cause and termination for convenience provisions must be included in third party contracts exceeding \$10,000.

Contents of Complete Contract Files

The following documents shall comprise the contents of a complete contract file for procurements above the micro-purchase level:

Written Record of Procurement History

Pierce County Transit shall maintain written records detailing the history of the procurement, including records relating to:

Procurement Method

Pierce County Transit must provide its rationale for the method of procurement it used for each contract, including a sole source justification for any acquisition that does not qualify as competitive.

Contract Type

Pierce County Transit must state the reasons for selecting the contract type it used.

Contractor Selection

Pierce County Transit must state its reasons for contractor selection or rejection, including written justification and evaluation documents;

Contractor Responsibility

Pierce County Transit must provide a written determination of responsibility for the successful contractor;

Cost or Price

Pierce County Transit must evaluate and state its justification for the contract cost or price, including the independent cost estimate and cost or price analysis; and

Reasonable Documentation

Pierce County Transit must retain documentation commensurate with the size and complexity of the procurement, including documents related to solicitation, receipt and evaluation of offers, and contract award, negotiation and execution.

Access to Records

Apart from the more limited record access provisions, of 2 CFR Part 200 provides FTA and DOT officials, the Comptroller General, or any of their representatives, access to and the right to examine and inspect all records, documents, and papers, including contracts, related to any FTA project financed with Federal assistance authorized by 49 U.S.C. Chapter 53.

Contract Administration and Close-Out Documents

Pierce County Transit shall maintain written records detailing the performance and close-out of the contract, including records relating to:

Contractor Performance

Pierce County Transit must maintain documents related to contractor adherence to budget and schedule, compliance with contract terms and conditions, DBE participation, progress reports, disputes and disciplinary actions.

Contract Deliverables

Pierce County Transit must maintain copies of all contract deliverables and records relating to approval, rejection and requested modifications of contract deliverables.

Contract Changes

Pierce County Transit must maintain copies of all contract modifications, including documentation related to the determination of need, written justification and rationale, cost analysis, negotiation and execution.

Contract Payments

Pierce County Transit must retain documentation of invoices, approval of payments, requests for modifications to invoices, determination of percentage of contract completion for partial payments (if applicable), and ownership of title to partial work products.

Contract Close-Out

Pierce County Transit must retain documentation related to contractor performance and evaluation, approval of final deliverables payments, contract audit and final reconciliation.

Protest Procedures

Statement of Policy

Pierce County Transit is responsible for resolving all contractual and administrative issues, including protests of evaluations and contract awards, arising out of its third-party procurements using good administrative practices and sound business judgment.

In general, GDOT will not substitute its judgment for that of Pierce County Transit unless the matter is primarily a Federal concern. Nevertheless, GDOT and FTA can become involved in Pierce County Transit's administrative decisions when a Pierce County Transit protest decision is appealed to GDOT.

Pierce County Transit shall give timely notification to GDOT when it receives a third-party procurement protest and will keep FTA informed about the status of any such protest. Pierce County Transit shall disclose all information about any third-party procurement protest to GDOT upon request.

Pierce County Transit's procedure for addressing third party procurement protests is described in Paragraph 6.9.2 below. Pierce County Transit shall insert its protest procedure in all solicitation documents for products and services having an estimated value of \$100,000 or greater.

Pierce County Transit Staff Responsibilities

The following staff responsibilities shall be assigned in all protests:

- Purchasing Agent – Responsibilities include: ensuring that the Pierce County Transit Protest Procedure is included in all solicitation documents; and providing information to and assisting the Chairman of the Board of Commissioners and County Attorney with the resolution of protests.
- County Attorney – Responsibilities include: reviewing all procurement protests; and advising and assisting the Pierce County Transit as needed with the resolution of all procurement protests.

Solicitation Provision

Pierce County Transit shall insert the following provision in all solicitation documents:

Pre-Proposal Protests

All protests concerning solicitation specifications, criteria and/or procedures shall be submitted in writing (defined as being sent or received via letter or facsimile on official firm/agency letterhead or by electronic mail) to the Purchasing Agent as specified below not later than ten (10) business days prior to the deadline for submission of bids/proposals.

The Purchasing Agent may, within his or her discretion, postpone the deadline for submission of bids/proposals, but in any case, shall provide a written response to all protests not later than five (5) business days prior to the deadline for submission of bids/proposals. If the deadline for submission of bids/proposals is postponed by the Purchasing Agent as the result of a protest the postponement will be announced through an addendum to the solicitation.

The decision by the Purchasing Agent shall be the final agency decision on the matter but shall be subject to judicial review as set forth by FTA below.

Pre-Award Protests

With respect to protests made after the deadline for submission of bids/proposals but before contract award by Pierce County Transit, protests shall be limited to those protests alleging a violation of Federal or State law, a challenge to the bids/proposals evaluation and award process, Pierce County Transit's failure to have or follow its protest procedures or its failure to review a complaint or protest. Such protests shall be submitted in writing (defined as being sent or received via letter or facsimile on official firm/agency letterhead or by electronic mail) to the Purchasing Agent as specified below not later than five (5) business days after the Recommendation for Contract Award announcement by Pierce County Transit.

The Purchasing Agent may, within his or her discretion, postpone the award of the contract, but in any case, shall provide a written response to all protests not later than three (3) business days prior to the date that Pierce County Transit shall announce the contract award.

The decision by the Purchasing Agent shall be the final agency decision on the matter but shall be subject to judicial review as set forth or review by FTA as specified below.

Requirements for Protests

All protests must be submitted to Pierce County Transit in writing (defined as being sent or received via letter or facsimile on official firm/agency letterhead or by electronic mail), with sufficient documentation, evidence and legal

authority to demonstrate that the Protestor is entitled to the relief requested. The protest must be certified as being true and correct to the best knowledge and information of the Protestor, and be signed by the Protestor. The protest must also include a mailing address to which a response should be sent.

Protests received after the deadlines for receipt of protests specified above are subject to denial without any requirement for review or action by Pierce County Transit.

All protests must be directed in writing (defined as being sent or received via letter or facsimile on official firm/agency letterhead or by electronic mail) to the Purchasing Agent at the address shown in the solicitation documents.

Protest Response

The Purchasing Agent shall issue written responses to all protests received by the required protest response dates. All protest responses shall be transmitted by first-class U.S. Postal Service to the address indicated in the protest letter.

For convenience, Pierce County Transit will also send a copy of the response to a protest to the Protester by facsimile and/or electronic mail if a facsimile number and/or electronic mail address are indicated in the protest letter. The protest response transmitted by U.S. Postal Service shall be the official Pierce County Transit response to the protest and Pierce County Transit will not be responsible for the failure of the Protester to receive the protest response by either facsimile or electronic mail.

Review of Protests by GDOT

All protests involving contracts financed with Federal assistance shall be disclosed to GDOT. Protesters shall exhaust all administrative remedies with Pierce County Transit prior to pursuing protests with GDOT. GDOT limits its reviews of protests to: a grantee's failure to have or follow its protest procedures; a grantee's failure to review a complaint or protest when presented an opportunity to do so; or violations of Federal law or regulation.

Appeals to GDOT must be received within five (5) working days of the date the Protester has received actual or constructive notice of Pierce County Transit final decision or within five (5) working days of the date the Protester has identified other grounds for appeal to GDOT.

This Procurement Policy has been duly accepted and approved on this day, 3rd of April, 2018 by the Pierce County Board of Commissioners for Pierce County Transit, as evidenced by the signature(s) below.

Commissioner Harold Rozier made a motion to approve the Purchasing Policy for Pierce Transit as presented. Commissioner Lanier Walker seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

3. Request from the Joint Planning Commission to appoint someone to an expiring 3-year term that will begin April 9, 2018 and end March 31, 2021. Currently this position is held by Jason Long, who would be glad to continue. Also interested in the position are Ty Wright and Tammy Brannen.

Commissioner Mike Streat made a motion to re-appoint Jason Long to a term from April 9, 2018 thru March 31, 2021. Commissioner Harold Rozier seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

4. Request to approve installation of a well at the Ware Street recreation site for irrigation purposes. Jason Rubenbauer, County Manager explained that the irrigation well at the Recreation Department located on Ware Street had failed and that we had been receiving quotes and proposals from vendors for replacement. It was determined with the assistance of James Jacobs and the new recreation plans that we would need to install a new 4 inch well with a 5 HP pump in a new location. This will be paid with SPLOST monies and the recommended proposal is from Larry Altman for \$10,500.00.

Commissioner Harold Rozier made a motion to approve the proposal from Larry Altman to install a 4 inch well with a 5HP pump for \$10,500.00. Commissioner Lanier Walker seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

5. Request approval for the purchase and installation of a generator from Boyette Electric in the amount of \$23,923.00 at the 253 Carter Ave which will be the new Emergency Operations Center for Pierce County.

Commissioner Mike Streat made a motion to approve the bid from Boyette Electric in the amount of \$23,923.00 to install generator at 253 Carter Ave. Commissioner Randy Dixon seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

6. Request to discuss the purchase of a Type 1 Ambulance. James Spivey, EMS Director asked if there were any questions he could answer and walked to clarify that the actual ambulance cost is \$128,800.00 the additional monies are for the Lifepak15 and new stretcher. Commissioner Dixon asked what the plans are for the old ambulance that was declared surplus. Chairman Bennett stated that they will get a plan together and let the Board know.

Commissioner Mike Streat made a motion to purchase a new Type 1 ambulance with stretcher and lifepak15 from Custom Works for \$180,000.00. Commissioner Lanier Walker seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

7. Request to seek formal bids for a fire suppression system at Grady Street for the Clerk of Court Archives as well as other county records. Chairman Bennett shared that the system at the Archives on Grady Street is not working and needs to be replaced. Have gathered some information on the direction of replacement and need to seek formal proposals.

Commissioner Randy Dixon made a motion to seek formal proposals for a fire suppression system at the Grady Street annex for archives. Commissioner Mike Streat seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

8. Request to seek formal proposals for the purchase or lease a new grader with sloper for the Road Department.

Commissioner Lanier Walker made a motion that we seek formal proposals for the purchase or lease of a new grader with sloper for the Road Department. Commissioner Harold Rozier seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

9. Request to seek bids for a new vehicle for the EMA/Fire Coordinator.

Commissioner Randy Dixon made a motion to seek formal bids on a new vehicle for the EMA/ Fire Coordinator. Commissioner Harold Rozier seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

10. Request to approve request from the Sheriff to purchase a new Explorer for the Office of the Sheriff under state contract for no more than \$30,000.00.

Commissioner Harold Rozier made a motion to approve the purchase of a new explorer for the Office of the Sheriff under state contract for no more than \$30,000.00. Commissioner Lanier Walker seconded the motion. All voted aye. Motion approved.

Chairman Bennett shared that there are some issues of concern that have been brought to the commission about the Courthouse and he asked that Thomas Sauls, Clerk of Court share those with everyone.

Thomas Saul Clerk of Court stated that some issues at the courthouse have come to him and he wanted to share them with the Board so that they can all be addressed. The issues come from citizens who come into the courthouse. 1. Bathrooms need to be thoroughly cleaned. 2. Carpet in the offices needs to be cleaned or replaced. 3. Walls need to be painted in the offices. 4. Glass tops in the judge office needed to be replaced but it has already been taken care of by maintenance. 5. Windows need to be cleaned. 6. Stone on the outside needs to be cleaned. 7. Ceiling tiles need to be replaced. 8. Lights in the courtroom need to be repaired.

Chairman Bennett stated that he would get with Thomas so that the list of concerns can be prioritized.

Mr. Sauls also stated that they have occupied the courthouse for 11 years now and that there has been little upkeep. We need to do better on keeping things up. Also, the hardwood floors need some work too.

ADJOURN

Chairman Bennett adjourned the meeting at 6:41 PM

Neal Bennett

Harold Rozier

Mike Streat

Randy Dixon

Lanier Walker